

# Litigation Review Committee Special Meeting

# September 2023

September 12, 2023

8:00 a.m.

West Committee Room, McNamara Alumni Center

## LIT - SEP 2023 - Special Meeting

1. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Special Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

Docket Item Summary - 3

Resolution - 4



# BOARD OF REGENTS DOCKET ITEM SUMMARY

#### **Litigation Review**

#### **September 12, 2023**

 AGENDA ITEM:
 Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Special Meeting of the Litigation Review<br/>Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

 Review
 X
 Review + Action
 Discussion

 This is a report required by Board policy.

**PRESENTERS:** Douglas Peterson, General Counsel

#### **PURPOSE & KEY POINTS**

To consider a resolution to conduct a non-public special meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to discuss attorney-client privileged matters.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The committee reviews litigation matters and obtains legal advice regarding specific University actions and their legal consequences.



### **REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**

### **RESOLUTION TO**

# Conduct Non-Public Special Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

**WHEREAS**, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public special meeting of Litigation Review Committee be held on Tuesday, September 12, 2023 at 8:00 a.m. in the West Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

I. Dittberner, et al. v. University of Minnesota; Martin, et al. v University of Minnesota